

**REMARKS**

Claims 95-99, 103, 106, 109, and 112-118 remain pending in this application.

Obvious-Type Double Patenting Rejections

A revised Terminal Disclaimer is submitted herewith, with the error pointed out in the Office Action being corrected, in order to overcome the obvious-type double patenting rejections.

Rejections under §112

Claims 104-105, 110-111 and 119-120 have been canceled, and claim 112 has been amended to delete the functional language that included the offending phrase, “of a type.” As such, the rejections under §112 are believed mooted or overcome.

Rejections under §103(a)

Remaining claims 95-99 and 106 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Sogawa et al, as modified in view of Abele et al. Independent claim 95 has been amended to incorporate the subject matter of (now-canceled) dependent claims 100/102, and independent claim 106 has been amended to incorporate the subject matter of (now-canceled) dependent claim 108. As such, these rejections are believed to be mooted.

**CONCLUSION**

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that all claims are allowable over the prior art of record. Applicants ask the Examiner to please review the further references cited in the accompanying Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement, which is submitted along with the requisite fee under 37 C.F.R. §1.97(c). If the Examiner has any questions or comments regarding this amendment, please contact the undersigned representative.

Respectfully submitted,  
BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP

Dated: 11-17-05

By: David T. Burse  
David T. Burse  
Reg. No. 37,104

BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP  
Three Embarcadero Center, Suite 1800  
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067  
Telephone: (650) 849-4824  
Fax: (650) 849-4800